Aging and social reproduction in Canada, 1901 & 1911

Lisa Dillon, Département de Démographie

Université de Montréal ly.dillon@umontreal.ca



Subject

- The elderly and social reproduction intergenerational exchanges — less predicated on intergenerational co-residence — patterns prevail into 20th century?
- Changes 1901 1911:
 - % foreign-born 13% to 23%
 - % living in cities 27% to 37%
 - % heads working as farmer: 42% to 36%
- Changes in the household status of elderly women and men?
- Cross-sectional census analyses of intergenerational co-residence: an indirect view of social reproduction

Canadian Literature

- family strategies perspective, intergenerational cooperation, power of patriarch (Bouchard, Little, Gagan, Darroch & Soltow, Elliott, Bradbury)
- Bouchard (1996): North American model of « open » family reproduction (family farm; pluriétablissement; parental concern for establishing maximum # children; family solidarity)
- Diversity (gender, age, class, race, ethno-religious identity)
- Research gap in Canada for early 20th century
- U.S.: Ruggles: across 19th & 20th: gradual residential separation of generations — diminished power of patriarchs -- alternatives for children

Canadian Literature

- Earlier research:
- I. Increasingly diverse living arrangements e.g. elderly ♀: 1871 to 1901 % living as boarders, siblings, other relatives or employees from 5 to 16%
- 2. Regional distinctions: elderly widows and married elderly men headed households in greater proportions in Ontario than in Quebec
- 3. Elderly men in Ontario more likely to report occupation + retirement, whereas elderly men in Quebec reported just retirement, living on own means or no occupation → Ontario ♂ life-cycle saving (Di Matteo & George) versus Quebec men « donation »

Data & Methods

- 1901 and 1911 Censuses of Canada
- 5% nationally representative, geographically stratified, clustered on dwellings
- Exclusion of group quarters (just 2-4% of elderly)
- 1901: 13,036 persons 65+
- 1911: 16,118 persons 65+
- Descriptive statistics:
 - Characteristics of elderly women & men
 - Distribution across household statuses
 - Household statuses by province
- Multivariate analysis (logistic regression):
 - Widows/never married women: heading households
 - All men: heading households with dependent children

Hypotheses

- 10 years: overall 1901 patterns prevail
- Continued augmentation of diverse range of living arrangements among women and men alike
- Increased urbanization favouring increased proportions of elderly women heading households overall (urban contexts offering means of preserving headship through work, taking in boarders, children's wages)
- Sustained distinctions of household headship among elderly women (greater among women in Ontario)
- Declining proportions of elderly men heading households with dependent children (in particular), as children find alternative means of livelihood

Portrait of the elderly, 1901 - 1911

- Continuity; highlights
- Half elderly women, ³/₄ elderly men heads/spouses of head
- Increasingly native-born group (1901, 35% women 38% men foreign-born; 1911 just over a quarter)
- Only 3% had European ethnic origin other than German or British Isles (compared to 7% all Cdns)
- Increased % read & write; language other than English or French

Portrait of the elderly, 1901 - 1911

- Still predominately living in Quebec & Ontario; elderly dominating Maritimes, not Western provinces
- % urban: women from almost a quarter in 1901 to almost a third in 1911; men from about a fifth in 1901 to a quarter in 1911
- Occupation reporting seems comparable in 1901 & 1911 (difficulties harmonizing, different treatment of retirement: men 1901 56% 1911 60%)

Table 3: Percent distribution of women 65+ years among predominant marital and household statuses, Canada 1871 - 1911

	1871	1901	1911
Spouse Present			
Spouse of household head,			
children present	25	19	17
Spouse of household head,			
no children present	14	14	15
Parent or parent-in-law of			
household head		1	5
N	425	6 409	7 835

Table 3: Percent distribution of women 65+ years among predominant marital and household statuses, Canada 1871 - 1911

	1871	1901	1911
Spouse Absent			
Head of household, no children present	9	8	8
Head of household, children present	10	11	10
Parent or parent-in-law of household head	37	32	27
Other (nonrelative of head, boarder/lodger, sibling	5	16	18
other relative, employee)			
N	425	6 409	7 835

Table 4: Percent distribution of men 65+ years

among predominant marital and household statuses, Canada 1871 - 1911

	1871	1901	1911
Spouse Present			
Head of household, children present	53	40	38
Head of household, no children present	13	20	23
Parent or parent-in-law of household head	4	5	6

Table 4: Percent distribution of men 65+ years

among predominant marital and household statuses, Canada 1871 - 1911

	1871	1901	1911
Spouse Absent			
Head of household, no children present	6	7	6
Head of household, children present	10	10	8
Parent or parent-in-law of household head	12	10	9
Other (nonrelative, boarder/lodger, sibling,			
employee)	4	9	10
Total	507	6 686	8 283

Table 5: Percent distribution of women 65+ years among predominant household statuses by bordering provinces, 1901, 1911 Canada

					19	01				
										Unorg'
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	Org' Te	err	BC	Terr
Household head	14	17	17	14	23	13	10		29	50
Parent/parent-in-law										
of head	41	34	31	35	30	39	31		34	
Other household										
status	16	18	22	17	13	11	24		13	25
N	420	819	144	1 852	2 776	143	59		70	4
	1911									
										Yukon &
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	SK	AB	ВС	NWT
Household head, +/-										
children	15	17	10	14	23	15	9	13	11	20
Parent/parent-in-law										
of head	34	33	34	34	29	34	44	41	31	10
Other household										
status	18	20	25	21	15	13	13	12	17	30
N	496	919	197	2163	3368	213	159	134	176	10

Table 6: Percent distribution of men 65+ years among predominant household statuses by bordering provinces, 1901 - 1911 Canada

					19	01					
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	Org'	Terr	ВС	Unorg'	Terr
Married, head of hh, children Married, head of hh, no	47	45	45	38	42	41	36)	26	56	
children	15	18	13	22	21	23	23	1	27	2	
N	482	730	155	1876	3066	162	88	}	124	9	
					19	11					
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	SK	AB	ВС	Yukor NW 1	
Married, head of hh, children Married, head of hh, no	40	44	42	34	38	38	35	35	35	33	
children	17	21	16	22	25	23	19	24	19	27	
N	563	871	199	2229	3475	283	224	169	255	15	

Table 6: Percent distribution of men 65+ years among predominant household statuses by bordering provinces, 1901 - 1911 Canada

					19	901				
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	Org' 7	Terr E	3 <i>C</i>	Unorg' Terr
Parent of head	16	12	14	20	13	15	9		7	0
Other household status	22	24	28	20	24	21	32		40	22
N	482	730	155	1876	3066	162	88	1	24	9
		1911								
										Yukon &
	NB	NS	PEI	QC	ON	MB	SK	AB E	3C	NWT
Parent of head	16	13	14	19	14	13	21	10 1	10	_
Other household status	27	23	28	25	23	25	25	31 3	36	40
	•									
N	563	871	199	2229	3475	283	224	169 2	55	15

Main contrasts: widows heading households; all men living as heads with children

Summary:

- Household headship of elderly widows/nevermarried women:
 - + young-old; widowed; non-white; foreign-born; born in Ontario, U.S., England, Ireland, Scotland; English-Protestant ethnicity; speaks English only; rural non-farm dweller or urban-dweller; lives in Ontario; reports occupation; no boarder or servant present
 - never-married; living in province of birth; French-Catholic ethnicity; speaks French only; farm-dweller; lives in Quebec

Summary:

- Household headship with co-resident children of elderly men:
 - + young-old; married; born in Maritimes or Ontario; anglo-Protestant or Irish-Catholic; living in the Maritimes; employer or farmer
 - old-old; widowed; born in Quebec; French-Catholic; living in the West or Quebec; no occupation given; boarders or servants present
 - Effects erase regional differentiations?

Endogeneity?

- Rural-farm status and widowhood
- Co-residence with children in the model for widows? (cannot measure # of children ever born)
- Occupation and headship (men: cessation of work signals transmission of headship; women: work signals poverty/isolation & residence apart from children – direction of causation?)

Table 8: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household by select characteristics, women aged 65+ years, Canada, 1901, 1911

	Exp (B)	Sig	Exp (B)	Sig
Province of residence				
Prince Edward Island	0,442	0	0,759	0,403
Nova Scotia	0,589	0	0,948	0,783
New Brunswick	0,512	0	0,94	0,764
Quebec	0,504	0	0,7	0,004
Ontario	1		1	
Manitoba	0,601	0,003	0,617	0,017
Territories in 1901	0,515	0,104	0,307	0,025
Saskatchewan in 1911	0,318	0	0,414	0,01
Alberta in 1911	0,509	0,013	0,548	0,057
British Columbia	0,657	0,028	0,452	0
Yukon & Northwest territories in 1911	1,074	0,938	0,378	0,444

Table 8: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household

	Exp(B)	Sig
Religion & Ethnicity #3		
English-Protestant	1,554	0,026
Scottish-Protestant	1,782	0,005
Irish Protestant	1,331	0,17
Irish Catholic	1,507	0,056
German	1,513	0,075
Other	1,611	0,014
French Catholic	1	
Rural-Urban Status #1		
Living in city 3,000+ persons	7,109	0
Rural nonfarm	10,981	0
Rural farm	1	
Occupation listed #2		
Occupation listed on census	9,253	0
No occupation listed on census	1	
No servant present	1	

Table 8: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household by select characteristics, women aged 65+ years, Canada, 1901, 1911

	Exp (B)	Sig
Province of residence #5		
Quebec	0,7	0,004
Ontario	1	
Manitoba	0,617	0,017
Saskatchewan in 1911	0,414	0,01
Alberta in 1911	0,548	0,057
British Columbia	0,452	0
Yukon & Northwest territories in 1911	0,378	0,444
Age #6		
65-69	1	
70-74	0,789	0,001
75-80	0,68	0
80+	0,42	0
Marital Status #4		
Never Married	0,311	0
Widowed, divorced, separated	1	

Table 8: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a househole	d
by select characteristics, women aged 65+ years, Canada, 1901, 191	1

	Exp (B)	Sig
Birthplace #7		
Quebec	1,573	0,003
Other Europe	0,655	0,044
Ontario	1	
Literacy #11		
Illiterate or can read but not write	0,744	0
Literate (reads and writes)	1	
Language spoken #10		
Speaks French only	0,545	0,003
Speaks English and French	0,657	0,017
Speaks English only	1	
Household Contains Boarders #9		
Boarder(s) present	0,474	0
No boarder present	1	
Household Contains Servants #8		
Servant(s) present	0,439	0
No servant present	1	

Table 9: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household with dependent children

	Exp (B)	Sig	Exp (B)	Sig
Census year				
1901	1,167	0		
1911	1			
Province of residence				
British Columbia	0,636	0	0,749	0,03
Manitoba	0,925	0,427	0,877	0,251
New Brunswick	1,151	0,035	1,041	0,769
Nova Scotia	1,197	0,001	1,039	0,785
Prince Edward Island	1,548	0	1,217	0,357
Quebec	0,803	0	0,921	0,322
Territories in 1901	0,919	0,68	1,008	0,974
Saskatchewan in 1911	0,711	0,015	0,598	0,001
Alberta in 1911	0,713	0,035	0,665	0,029
Yukon & Northwest				
territories in 1911	0,57	0,306	0,646	0,555
Ontario	1		1	

Table 9: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household with dependent children

	Exp (B)	Sig
Marital Status #1		
Never Married	0,025	0
Widowed, divorced, separated	0,549	0
Married, spouse present	1	
Birthplace #2		
West (MB, SK, AB, BC, NWT)	1,971	0,002
United States	0,737	0,039
Scotland	1,342	0,003
Ontario	1	
Occupation/Class #3		
Employer only	0,669	0,049
Employee only	0,46	0
Other own account	0,551	0
Other occupation / status	0,419	0
No occupation listed on census	0,225	0
Farmer	1	

Table 9: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household with dependent children

	Exp (B)	Sig
Age #6		
65-69	1	
70-74	0,702	0
75-80	0,52	0
80+	0,435	0
Religion & Ethnicity #5		
English-Protestant	0,95	0,555
Scottish-Protestant	1,181	0,081
Irish Protestant	1,208	0,049
Irish Catholic	1,609	0
German	0,715	0,003
Other	1,104	0,263
French Catholic	1	
Rural-Urban Status #4		
Living in city 3,000+ persons	1,707	0
Rural	1	

Table 9: Logistic regression on the probability of heading a household with dependent children

	Exp (B)	Sig
Province of residence #8		
British Columbia	0,749	0,03
Saskatchewan in 1911	0,598	0,001
Alberta in 1911	0,665	0,029
Ontario	1	
Literacy #10		
Illiterate or can read but not write	0,836	0,001
Literate (reads and writes)	1	
Household Contains Boarders #7		
Boarder(s) present	0,542	0
No boarder present	1	
Household Contains Servants #9		
Servant(s) present	0,796	0,001
No servant present	1	
N	14841	

Hypotheses born out:

- ✓ Increased diversity of living arrangements
- Increased urbanization associated with household headship for widows and headship+co-residence with children for men (urban contexts, family economies prevailing)
- Regional distinctions sustained (greater headship among elderly women in Ontario; greater headship with children among elderly men in Maritimes)
- Declining proportions of elderly men heading households with dependent children
- X not increased % elderly women heading households by 1911

Complex interpretations

- Which results shed light on the elderly's role in social reproduction per se?
- Widows' headship & poverty, isolation, vulnerability (work, race)
- Male headship with children & life course (married, young-old); substitution of boarders/servants for children
- Declining proportion of elderly persons coresident with children & declining fertility
- Reduced % men head with children in West
 & children's alternative opportunities

But...

- Consistent ethno-religious differences:
 - widows' household headship higher in Ontario / English Protestant
 - Men's headship with co-resident children higher in Ontario / Irish ethnicity
- Suggests: important ethno-religious differences in social reproductive strategies of different ethno-religious & provincial groups (e.g. timing of marriage and timing/extent of transmission of property to next generation)

